FIRST REPORT OF A SHATTER-CONED METEORITE.

M.Killgore¹, K.Killgore¹, J.F.McHone², and C.Shoemaker², ¹ Southwest Meteorite Lab, PO Box 95, Payson, AZ 85547, ²U.S.Geological Survey, Astrogeology Science Center, Flagstaff, AZ 86001. <u>meteoritelab@q.com</u>.

Introduction: Shatter cones are well known in target rocks as diagnostic indicators of meteoritic impact. We report here the first known occurrence of shatter cones in a meteorite.

Shatter Cones; history and significance: A distinct, striated conical rock failure occurs at many terrestrial impact structures. Conical limestone fragments with radiating surface grooves were first reported by Branco and Frass [1] at Steinheim Basin, Germany in 1905. They ascribed the 4 km wide depression to deeply buried explosive magma which failed to reach the surface during a 'crypto volcanic' event. Kranz [2] in 1924 suggested rocks at Steinheim became brittle by absorbing pressurized gases, then broke into delicately grooved cones which he termed 'strahlenkalk' or raved limestone. Walter Bucher in 1931 began studying cryptovolcanic structures in North America and soon found strahlenkalken at Wells Creek, Tennessee. In his report [3] he coined the term shatter cones; the name now used worldwide. By 1933 Shrock and Malott [4] reported shatter cones at Kentland, Indiana. And in 1934 Rohleder [5] described 'Druckfiguren', or pressure figures, at Lake Bosumtwi which he compared to Steinheim rock fractures. In his 1936 summary of United States crytovolcanic structures, Bucher [6] included the association of shatter cones as indicators of an underlying blast event. In 1947 Dietz [7] demonstrated that shatter cone apices point toward the source of an advancing shock pulse, and at Kentland the majority pointed upward. Since the explosive force came from above, not below, he suggested the Kentland disturbance was due to explosion of a meteorite rather than a hidden volcano. Shatter cones were next found in 1954 at Crooked Creek, Missouri by Hendriks [8] who suggested an impact origin for the circular disturbance. In 1959 Dietz [9]

introduced the genetically neutral term 'cryptoexplosion' rather than cryptovolcanic. By 1960 Dietz [10] had found shatter cones at Sierra Madera, Texas; Serpent Mound, Ohio; and Flynn Creek, Tennessee, and he proposed shatter cones as a field criterion of meteoritic impact. Today, properly identified shatter cones are widely accepted as reliable and diagnostic impact indicators [11]. The search continues with considerable success and shatter cones are now confirmed in target rocks at more than 70, of about 180, documented terrestrial impact structures [12,13].



Figure 1. Shatter-coned 1.74 kg NWA chondrite.

NWA Meteorites: In the last few decades, nomadic Saharans have been encouraged to collect dark, dense stones for unregulated markets in Morocco. As a result, large numbers of loose meteorites are now available to the international meteorite trade. The majority are transported in bulk lots of unclassified stones from unknown or deliberately obscured locations. The usual convention of naming individual or grouped meteorites after nearby settlements or prominent geographic features is not practical. The Meteoritical Society has developed nomenclature guidelines [14] with special instructions for those from Moroccan markets. All are assigned the name NWA (Northwest Africa) and then a sequential number if it is reliably classified and found to be of interest.

Shatter-Coned NWA Meteorite: The object of this report is a 1.74 kg unclassified NWA chondrite mass. Overall size is about $15 \times 9 \times 9$ cm and subangular in shape. An exploratory corner 'window' cut reveals metallic flecks densely and evenly distributed in a dark matrix. The mass is magnetic and one flattened face displays fusion crust 1-2 mm thick with flow rills and well-developed regmaglypts (thumbprint depressions). Desert patina is prominent overall.

About 20 percent of the surface is covered with the distinct, horse-tail fabric of shatter cones (Fig 1). Rounded ridges separated by sharp grooves radiate from several points in fan-like conical splays. Additional shatter cone sets appear to penetrate into the main body, and possible weather-welded fissures cut across the exploratory window cut.

Shatter cones have now been recognised for more than a century. Until now they have been found only in terrestrial target rocks. Their presence indicates this NWA meteorite fragmented from a larger parent body during a violent collision, either with another meteorite in space or with Earth. Shatter cones are still the only reliable megascopic evidence of an impact shock history for a solid body.

References: [1] W.Branco and E.Frass (1905). Das Kryptovulkanische Becken von Steinheim, *Akad.Wiss. Berlin, Phys.,Math, K.*, Abh.1, p. 1-64

[2] W.Kranz, W. (1924) Begleitworte Zur Geognostischen Spezialkarte von Wurttemberg, Atla:2blatt Heidenheim, Aufl. 2, p~ 52-105.

[3] W.H.Bucher (1936) Cryptovolcanlc structures In the United States, *ProceedIngs 16th InternatIonal Geol, Congress*, Report 2, p. 1055-1084. [4] R.Shrock and C.Malott (1933) The Kentland area of disturbed ordovician rocks in northwestern Indiana, *Jour. Geol.*, vol. 41, p. 337-370.

[5] H.P.T.Rohleder (1934) On the finding of fracture phenomena and striated surfaces on the basin rim of the cryptovolcanlc lake Bosumtwi, Ashanti Zentralbi, *Mineralogie, Geologie u. Palaontologie*, Abt. A., no. 10, p. 316-318.

[6] W.H.Bucher (1936) Cryptovolcanlc structures In the United States, *ProceedIngs 16th InternatIonal Geol, Congress, Report 2*, p. 1055-1084.

[7] R.S.Dietz (1947) Meteorite impact suggested by the orientation of shatter cones at the Kentland, Indiana disturbance, *Science*, vol. 105, p. 42.

[8] H.E.Hendriks (1954) The geology of the Steelville quadrangle, *Missouri Geological Survey and Water Resources*, vol. 36, 2nd ser., 82 p.

[9] R.S.Dietz (1959) Shatter cones in cryptoexplosion structures (meteorite impact), *The Journal of Geology*, vol. 67,5, p. 496-508.

[10] R.S.Dietz (1960) Meteorite impact suggested by shatter cones in rock, *Science*, vol. 131, p. 50-58.

[11] B.M French and C. Koeberl (2010) The convincing identification of terrestrial meteorite impact structures: What works, what doesn't, and why. *Earth-Science Reviews*, vol. 98, Issues 1-2, 1 Jan 2010, pp 123-170.

[12] J.F.McHone (1986). Terrestrial impact structures: their detection and verification with two new examples from Brazil. *PhD thesis.Univ. Illinois Urbana-Champaign*. pp.52-53.

[13] L.Ferriere and G.R.Osinski (2010) Shatter cones and associated shock-induced microdeformations in minerals – new investigations and implications for their formations. *LPSC 41, Abst no. 1392* (76 shatter cone sites +38 questionable presented in poster display).

[14]Committee on Meteorite Nomenclature (2011) *Guidelines for Meteorite Nomenclature*, <u>http://www.meteoriticalsociety.org/bulletin/nc-</u> <u>guidelines.htm</u>